

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/839,852	04/20/2001	Michael S. Lopke	10008057-1 3030	
7590 02/03/2005 HEWELETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration			EXAMINER	
			NAWAZ, ASAD M	
Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400		•	ART UNIT PAPER	PAPER NUMBER
Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			2155	

DATE MAILED: 02/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N .	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/839,852	LOPKE ET AL.			
		Examin r	Art Unit			
		Asad M Nawaz	2155			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addréss			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  In sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 October 2004.					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Applicat	on Papers					
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 February 2001</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)[	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		· ·			
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
а)(	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)		1:5			
1) Notice	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
3) Infon	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/839,852 Page 2

Art Unit: 2155

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This communication is responsive to the amendment filed on October 15, 2004. Claims 5 and 20 were amended to alleviate minor informalities. No other claims were amended, added, or cancelled. Claims 1-25 are pending.

2. Acknowledgment of the terminal disclaimer filed on October 15, 2004 is made.

# Response to Amendment

3. Applicant's arguments, see amendment, filed October 15, 2004, with respect to the rejections of claims 1-25 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, all rejections have been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Hericy et al (US PGPUB 2002/0083188).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1-25 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hericy et al (US PGPUB 2002/0083188) hereinafter referred to as Hericy.

As to claim 1, Hericy teaches a method comprising:

Art Unit: 2155

receiving, from a client, a request for a document; (0024) assigning a session ID to uniquely identify a session established with the client; (0025-0027)

generating a time stamp; (Abstract; fig 2; 0027, 0035)

associating the time stamp with the session ID; (0027, 0035)

serving the document along with a time stamp and an executable script to the client, the executable script being configured to return the time stamp when the document is rendered on the client; (Abstract; Fig 2; 0025-0039)

receiving the time stamp from the client; (0025-0039)

deriving a document render time from the time stamp, the document render time being indicative of a time period from when the request for the document is generated at the client to when the document is rendered at the client; (Fig 2; 0025-0039)

logging the document render time in association with the session ID that is associated with the time stamp from which the document render time is associated;(0025-0027; 0043)

and determining an average render time per user as a function of one or more logged document render times for a common session ID. (0025-0027)

Claims 7-9, 11, 12, 17, 22, and 23 are essentially the method, server system, architecture, and computer readable media for the claim above and recite similar limitations. Therefore, these claims are rejected under similar rationale.

Art Unit: 2155

As to claim 2, Hericy teaches a method as recited in claim 1, wherein the document comprises a web page. (0003)

Claims 13 and 18 are essentially the server system and architecture for the claim above and are thus rejected under similar rationale.

As to claim 3, Hericy teaches a method as recited in claim 1, wherein the deriving comprises computing a time period from the time stamp and a current time, the time period representing the document render time. (Fig 2; 0025-0039)

Claims 9, 14, 19, 20, and 24 are essentially the method, server system, architecture, and computer readable media for the claim above and are thus rejected under similar rationale.

As to claim 4, Heircy teaches a method as recited in claim 1, wherein multiple document render times for a given session ID are logged, and the determining further comprises computing an average render time per user by averaging the document render times for the common session ID. (0005; 0025-0027)

Claims 10 and 15 are essentially the server system and method for the claim above and are thus rejected under similar rationale.

As to claim 5, Hericy teaches a method as recited in claim 1, further comprising sending the session ID together with the time stamp to the client and receiving the

Art Unit: 2155

session ID and the time stamp back from the client upon execution of the script.

(Abstract; Fig 2; 0025-0039)

Claim 16 is essentially the server system for the claim above and is thus rejected under similar rationale.

As to claim 6, Hericy teaches a method as recited in claim 1, further comprising logging the average render time. (0013; 0027)

As to claim 21, Hericy teaches an architecture as recited in claim 17, further comprising a browser resident at the second computer to render the document. (0012)

As to claim 25, Hericy teaches a computer-readable media as recited in claim 23, further comprising computer-executable instructions that, when executed by one or more processing units, direct one or more computing devices to serve the session ID along with the time stamp and to return the session ID with the time stamp back to the server. (Fig 2; 0025-0039)

### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Asad M Nawaz whose telephone number is (571) 272-3988. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hosain Alam can be reached on (571) 272-3978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2155

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BMM.

**AMN** 

HOSAIN ALAM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER